State of California
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
Department of Transportation

PROGRAM AMENDMENTS
Traffic Congestion Relief Applications
Action Item

Prepared By: Debbie Mah Program Manager, Traffic Congestion Relief (916) 653-2052 CTC Meeting: January 17-18, 2001

Agenda Item: 2.1c.(1)

Original Signed By

W. J. EVANS, Deputy Director Finance

January 5, 2001

# TRAFFIC CONGESTION RELIEF (TCR) PROGRAM APPLICATION APPROVALS RESOLUTION TA-01-01

At the January 18-19, 2001 California Transportation Commission (Commission) meeting, the Commission will be asked to consider the following nine Traffic Congestion Relief Program applications, totaling \$67,555,000. A fact sheet for each project is attached.

Project	(\$ X 1,000)		
Number	Amount)	Phase(s)	Description
12.2	\$100	1	Bay Area Transit Connectivity – Hercules Rail Station Study and
			Improvements
			Lead Agency: Contra Costa Transportation Authority
			Implementing Agency: Same
			Advance Requested: \$100
29	\$8,000	4	AC Transit; Buy 6 fuel cell buses and fueling facility.
			Lead Agency: Alameda/Contra Costa Transit District
			Implementing Agency: Same
36	\$14,300	1	Los Angeles Eastside Light Rail Transit Project
			Lead Agency: Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority
			Implementing Agency: Same
37	\$4,700	1	Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements
			Lead Agency: Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority
			Implementing Agency: Same
38	\$12,300	1	Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension; Build an
	. ,		east/west bus rapid transit system in the Burbank-Chandler corridor.
			Lead Agency: Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority
			Implementing Agency: Same
44	\$18,400	All	Route 47 (Terminal Island Freeway); Construct interchange at
	,		Ocean Boulevard Overpass.
			Lead Agency: Port of Long Beach
			Implementing Agency: Same
			Lead Agency: Port of Long Beach

Project <u>Number</u> 60	(\$ X 1,000) <u>Amount)</u> \$955	<u>Phase(s)</u> 1,2	<u>Description</u> Route 15; Southbound truck climbing lane at two locations in San Bernardino County.  Lead Agency: Caltrans  Implementing Agency: Same
63	\$3,800	4	Route 60; Add seven miles of HOV lanes west of Riverside, Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County.  Lead Agency: Caltrans  Implementing Agency: Same
144	\$5,000	4	Seismic Retrofit of the Nation Landmark Golden Gate Bridge.  Lead Agency: Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation  District  Implementing Agency: Same  Advance Requested: \$5,000

Regional

Bay Area Transit Connectivity; complete studies of, and fund related improvements for, the I-580 Livermore Corridor; the Hercules Rail Station and related improvements, West Contra Costa County and Route 4 Corridors in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties. Seventeen million dollars (\$17,000,000). Of the amount specified, three million dollars (\$3,000,000) shall be made available for the Hercules Rail Station study and improvements.

(\$x1,000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$5,500

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$100

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$3,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

Lead Agency:

Contra Costa

Implementing Agency:

City of Hercules

Transportation

Authoirty

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$100

for Phase(s): 1

Advance requested: \$100

# **Project Summary**

This project application covers the Hercules Rail Station and related improvements portion of TCRP Project 12 (Bay Area Transit Connectivity). This application covers Phase 1 only for the Preliminary engineering and environmental work related to constructing a train station in the City of Hercules along the Capitol Corridor rail line. The train station project will include work on the existing train track by increasing the radius of curvature, construction of a 600 lf x 15 ft wide center platform and inland concrete platform, installation of passenger shelters, construction of parking lots, landscape installation and other associated amenities.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Preliminary engineering and environmental work.	1/1/01	5/1/01	\$100
2	Initiate project design work based on data obtained in Phase 1	8/1/01	4/1/02	\$350
3	Commence right of way acquisition process	4/1/02	12/1/02	\$50
4	Initiate public bidding process to start construction of the project	1/1/03	5/1/04	\$5,000
			Total:	\$5 500

# Funding Plan (\$x 1,000)

Source	Type		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP -	State	Committed	\$100			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$100
Hercules		Proposed	***************	\$350	\$50	\$2,500	\$2,900
RTIP / ITIP	State	Committed					
		Proposed				\$2,500	\$2,500
TCRP - I-	State	Committed					\$7,000
580 Study		Proposed					
TCRP - Rte.	State	Committed					\$7,000
4 Study		Proposed			***********		
	Totals :	Committed	\$100				\$100
		Proposed		\$350	\$50	\$5,000	\$5,400
		Total:	\$100	\$350	\$50	\$5,000	\$5,500

## Discussion/Issues

No Issues. The applicant is seeking an advance of \$100,000 for cash flow purposes associated with consultant and support costs.

Two additional applications from two different lead agencies (as identified in AB2928/SB1662), have previously been submitted and approved for the two other portions; one covering the Route 4 Corridor study and improvements (\$7,000,000) and one covering the 1-580 Livermore Corridor study and improvements (\$7,000,000). The dollars for these projects are shown in this application as reference only.

Alameda / Contra Costa

AC Transit; buy two fuel cell buses and fueling facility for demonstration project in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties.

(\$x1,000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$14,199

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$8,000

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$8,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

4

Lead Agency:

Alameda Contra Implementing Agency:

Same

Costa Transit

District

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$8,000

for Phase(s): 4

Advance requested: \$0

# **Project Summary**

Purchase six or more zero-emission fuel cell buses that will be used to demonstrate the feasibility of using this technology as the energy source for the operation of standard-sized transit buses in a large urban transit environment. The project will include the supporting infrastructure (fueling and maintenance) facilities needed to implement the project. Once the buses have been received and the initial acceptance has been completed, they will be placed in revenue service and tested for a period of one year.

The applicant has determined that the project is categorically exempt.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	N/A	,		
2	Specifications completed; RFP's have been issued			
3	N/A	•••••		
4	Construct fueling facility and modifications to the maintenance facility.	2/1/01	12/1/02	\$3,525
4	Purchase six hydrogen fuel-cell buses	2/1/01	6/1/03	\$10,674
			Total:	\$14,199

# Funding Plan (\$x1,000)

Source	Туре		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed		···		\$8,000	\$8,000
		Proposed					
DOT	Federal	Committed				\$1,000	\$1,000
		Proposed	****************	**************	***********	••••••••••••••	
CEC / CARB	State	Committed				\$3,425	\$3,425
		Proposed					
DOE-2nd	Federal	Committed				\$200	\$200
уеаг		Proposed	*****************		*****************		
District	Local	Committed				\$300	\$300
		Proposed				\$874	\$874
DOE-first	Federal	Committed				\$100	\$100
year .		Proposed					
TFCA	Regional	Committed				\$300	\$300
		Proposed					
	Totals :	Committed				\$13,325	\$13,325
		Proposed				\$874	\$874
		Total:				\$14,199	\$14,199

#### Discussion/Issues

AB 1662 specified the purchase of two buses with supporting infrastructure. However, at a meeting of the California Fuel Cell Partnership (CaFCP) it was determined that it would be more beneficial to delay the demonstration one year (originally scheduled for June 2002) in order to reduce the costs per bus to a more acceptable level and to use the commercial version of the engine rather than the prototype (thus reducing costs). The new date is now June 2003, with testing to commence upon receipt of the buses. This strategy will allow AC transit to purchase at least six buses,

providing a larger test fleet, thus enhancing the demonstration project.

The District has committed to provide staff support which is reflected in the funding table. The portion that is shown as proposed maybe considered as committed with the understanding that specific personnel and salaries may change over the life of the project.

Los Angeles

Los Angeles Eastside Transit Extension; build new light rail line in East Los Angeles, from Union Station to Atlantic via 1st Street to Lorena in Los Angeles County.

(\$x1.000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$759,500

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$14,300

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$236,000

Ψ250,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

*n.* +17,500

Lead Agency:

Los Angeles

Implementing Agency:

Same

County
Metropolitan
Transportation
Authority

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$16,400

for Phase(s): 1

Advance requested: \$0

# **Project Summary**

This application is an amendment to a previous application approved for \$5,200,000 for Phase 1 of this project at the November 1-2 CTC meeting. The scope of work basically remains the same, however, the applicant plans to now utilize a design/build process for constructing the project which will require additional preliminary engineering and design development activities in Phase 1 for the procurement of a design/build contract. The new estimated cost of Phase 1, as reflected in this application, is \$19,500,000. Therefore, the amount requested for approval in this application is an additional \$14,300,000; the difference from the originally approved amount of \$5,200,000 and the current estimate, bringing the total for Phase 1 to \$19,500,000. Scope of Work: Construct 6 mile, 8 station light rail line through East Los Angeles which will include a 1.7 mile tunnel. The East Side Light Rail Transit Project will also serve as an extension of the Pasadena Blue Line. The lines will be physically connected at Union Station which will serve as a station stop of the Blue line, then continuing on as the East Side line.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Environmental (EIR/EIS) and preliminary engineering and design development activities for procurement of design/build contract.	12/1/00	12/1/01	\$30,600
2	Carry-out final design plans required to construct light rail system.	6/1/01	7/1/03	\$19,400
3	Acquisition of right-of-way required to complete light rail project.	8/1/02	8/1/03	\$41,900
4	Contract out for construction firms to build East Side Light Rail Project as proposed within the preliminary engineering and final design specifications	8/1/02	10/1/07	\$667,600
			Total:	\$759,500

# Funding Plan $(\$ \times 1.000)$

Source	Type		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$19,500				\$19,500
		Proposed		\$19,400	\$25,700	\$171,400	\$216,500
New Starts	Federal	Committed	\$5,900		\$16,200	\$380,200	\$402,300
		Proposed					
Fixed	Federal	Committed				\$38,900	\$38,900
Guideway		Proposed			••••••••••		
RSTP	Federal -	Committed	<del> </del>			\$39,900	\$39,900
	Local	Proposed					
CMAQ	Federal -	Committed				\$37,200	\$37,200
	Local	Proposed		****************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
AB1012	State	Committed	\$5,200				\$5,200
		Proposed				*********	

January 17-18, 2001 Agenda Item 2.1c

		the state of the state of the state of	and the second second second		the state of the s		
	Totals :	Committed	\$30,600		\$16,200	\$496,200	\$543,000
		Proposed		\$19,400	\$25,700	\$171,400	\$216,500
•		Total:	\$30,600	\$19,400	\$41,900	\$667,600	\$759,500

## Discussion/Issues

In the original application, the applicant requested and received an allocation of \$3,200,000 against the originally approved amount of \$5,200,000. This figure was based on the fiscal year needs for the project as envisioned in the original application. However, under the new strategy represented in this amended application, the applicant is requesting that all funds for Phase 1 be allocated. Therefore, upon CTC approval of this amended application, Caltrans, utilizing delegated authority, will allocate an additional \$16,300,000 for this project bringing the total to \$19,500,000 for Phase 1.

Los Angeles

Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements; build Bus Rapid Transit system or Light Rail Transit in Mid-City/Westside/Exposition Corridors in Los Angeles County.

(\$x1,000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$340,300

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$4,700

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$256,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

Lead Agency:

Los Angeles

Implementing Agency:

Same

County Metropolitan

**Transportation Authority** 

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$4,700

for Phase(s): 1

Advance requested:

# **Project Summary**

Build a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system along Wilshire Blvd. beginning in Los Angeles, through Beverly Hills, to Santa Monica. The 12.7 mile route will connect the Wilshire/Western Metro Red Line station to 2nd Street and Colorado Blvd. In Santa Monica. The project will convert two general purpose traffic lanes on Wilshire Blvd, from mixed use to bus only lanes. The environmental study will determine which specific lanes will be used for the dedicated bus service. The route will operate similar to a light rail system with limited stops approximately 1 mile apart or at key intersections.

This application is for Phase 1of the project for developing the EIR/EIS document and for preliminary engineering work and design development activities necessitated by utilizing the design-build approach for the project.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Environmental study to develop an EIR/EIS and preliminary engineering and design to procure design/build contract.	12/1/00	12/1/01	\$10,400
2	Final design and construction will both be part of the design/build process. During this Phase, the contractor will reconstruct one-lane in each direction on Wilshire Blvd.	9/1/01	9/1/03	\$4,800
3	Acquisition of right-of-way required to complete BRT	2/1/02	6/1/04	\$83,300
4	Construction activities for dedicated bus lane for the BRT.	2/1/02	6/1/04	\$241,800
*			Total:	\$340,300

# Funding Plan $(\$ \times 1,000)$

Source	Type		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$4,700				\$4,700
		Proposed		\$4,800	\$53,300	\$193,200	\$251,300
PC40%	Local	Committed	\$3,200				\$3,200
		Proposed					
STIP APD	State	Committed	\$2,500		,		\$2,500
	1	Proposed				•	
5309 New	Federal	Committed			\$30,000	\$48,600	\$78,600
Starts		Proposed	*****************				
	Totals:	Committed	\$10,400		\$30,000	\$48,600	\$89,000
		Proposed		\$4,800	\$53,300	\$193,200	\$251,300
		Total:	\$10,400	\$4,800	\$83,300	\$241,800	\$340,300

## Discussion/Issues

No issues.

Los Angeles

Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension; (A) build an East-West Bus Rapid Transit system in the Burbank-Chandler corridor, from North Hollywood to Warner Center. One hundred forty-five million dollars (\$145,000,000). (B) Build a North-South corridor bus transit project that interfaces with the foregoing East-West Burbank-Chandler corridor project and with the Ventura Boulevard Rapid Bus project. One hundred million dollars (\$100,000.000).

(\$x1,000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$300,300

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$12,300

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$145,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

Lead Agency:

Los Angeles

Implementing Agency:

Same

County Metropolitan Transportation **Authority** 

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$12,300

for Phase(s): 1

Advance requested: \$0

### **Project Summary**

Build the San Fernando Valley (SFV) East-West Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) system along the Burbank/Chandler Corridor from North Hollywood to Warner Center. The 14 mile route will run along the Burbank/Chandler rightof-way from the North Hollywood Red Line Station to the planned Warner Center Transit Hub. BRT Buses will be given some priority at traffic signals with the use of signal synchronization. This technology will decrease the travel time from 55 minutes to approximately 30 minutes from North Hollywood to Warner Center. Additionally, the 100 foot wide dedicated bus lanes will be able to accommodate the bus lane and landscaping features to help integrate the BRT with surrounding neighborhoods.

This application is for Phase 1of the project for developing the FEIR/FEIS document, preliminary engineering work and design development activities, and procure a design/build contract.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Environmental work to prepare a FEIR/FEIS, preliminary engineering and design, procurement of a design/build contract	12/1/00	12/1/01	\$15,100
2	Carry-out final design plans required to contract BRT lane.	9/1/01	9/1/03	\$6,200
3	Acquisition of right-of-way required to complete BRT dedicated lane.	1/1/02	2/1/04	\$32,900
4	Contract out for construction firms to build the BRT lane as proposed by the Preliminary Engineering and Final Design specifications.	9/1/02	2/1/04	\$246,100
	***************************************		Total.	\$300,300

# Funding Plan (\$x1,000)

Source	Туре		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$12,300				\$12,300
		Proposed	***************************************	\$6,200	\$32,900	\$93,600	\$132,700
PC25%	Local	Committed	\$2,500			\$152,500	\$155,000
		Proposed					
STIP APD	State	Committed	\$300				\$300
		Proposed		***************************************			
	Totals:	Committed	\$15,100			\$152,500	\$167,600
		Proposed		\$6,200	\$32,900	\$93,600	\$132,700
		Total:	\$15,100	\$6,200	\$32,900	\$246,100	\$300,300

# Discussion/Issues

January 17-18, 2001 Agenda Item 2.1c

No Issues. This application is for Part A of Project #38 as described in SB1662, and has therefore been designated as Project 38.1. Future applications will be filed for Part B, which will be designated as Project 38.2

Los Angeles

Route 47 (Terminal Island Freeway); construct interchange at Ocean Boulevard Overpass in the City of Long Beach in Los Angeles County.

Phase(s) covered in application:

(\$x1,000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$50,429

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$18,400

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$18,400

: \$18,400

All

Lead Agency:

Port of Long

Implementing Agency:

Same

Deac

Beach

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$0

for Phase(s): N/A

Advance requested: \$0

### **Project Summary**

Construct a grade separated interchange at Ocean Blvd. and the Terminal Island Freeway, and at Ocean Blvd. and Henry Ford Ave., including the preparation of plans and specifications, estimates, and related support activities for design and construction. A PSR and PR have been prepared and approved. Environmental documents have been approved. The TCRP funds will be used primarily for design, right-of-way, and construction. The right-of-way work is primarily utility relocation.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Prepare PSR and PR, obtain CEQA and Coastal Development Permits	3/1/97	4/1/00	\$1,229
2	PS&E	5/1/00	10/1/01	\$3,800
3	Right of Way; consists mostly of utility relocations	5/1/00	12/1/01	\$13,900
4	Construct Interchange	12/1/01	3/1/04	\$31,500
			Total:	\$50,429

# Funding Plan (\$x 1,000)

Source	Type		Phase I	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed		\$760	\$2,780	\$14,860	\$18,400
		Proposed					
Port	Local	Committed	\$246			\$9	\$255
		Proposed					
Prop. C	Local	Committed				\$5,264	\$5,264
•		Proposed					
TEA21	Federal	Committed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$3,633	\$11,367	\$15,000
		Proposed					
ISTEA	Federal	Committed	\$983	\$3,040	\$7,487		\$11,510
		Proposed					
	Totals:	Committed	\$1,229	\$3,800	\$13,900	\$31,500	\$50,429
		Proposed		**************			
		Total:	\$1,229	\$3,800	\$13,900	\$31,500	\$50,429

#### Discussion/Issues

Phase 1 work (environmental documentation, permits, etc.) was completed in April of 2000. Work is currently underway for Phase 2 utilizing funding sources other than TCRP. The applicant is not seeking an allocation at this time, but has indicated they will do so in March 2001 for Phase 2 and 3 work. The applicant understands that the project must be approved for future funding (CTC consideration of environmental documents), and is expecting to submit their completed environmental documents at the February or March CTC meeting for consideration.

San Bernardino Route 15; southbound truck climbing lane at two locations in San Bernardino County.

(\$x1.000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$30,569

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$955

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$10,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

1.2

Lead Agency:

Caltrans

Implementing Agency:

Same

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$955

for Phase(s): 1,2

Advance requested: \$0

# **Project Summary**

Construct truck climbing lanes in two locations (Segment 1 and Segment 2) on Interstate 15 (I-15) in the southbound direction.

Segment 1: Southbound I-15 near Barstow from 4.1 Km north of East Main Street (Kp 124.33) to 1.4 Km south of Calico Ghost Town Road (Kp 130.6), in San Bernardino County, for a total distance of 6.27 Km. Work will consist of constructing a 3.6 meter lane within the median and designating the number 3 lane as a truck lane and providing a 3.0 meter side shoulder. Estimated cost for Segment 1 is \$10,000,000. Segment 2: Southbound I-15 from 5.9 Km north of Afton Road (R115.3) to 2.3 Km south of Basin Road OC (R119.0). Work will consist of constructing a 3.6 m lane and 3.0 m shoulder on the outside of the existing roadway using Long Life pavement (PCCP) and providing a 3.0 m inside shoulder. Estimated cost for Segment 2 is \$20,569,000.

The total estimated cost for both segments is \$30,569,000.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Segment 1 - PA&ED, Environmental Review	1/1/01	6/1/02	\$172
1	Segment 2 - PA&ED, Environmental Review	7/1/01	6/1/03	\$100
2	Segment 1 - PS&E	6/1/02	12/1/03	\$783
2	Segment 2 - PS&E	1/1/03	6/1/04	\$600
3	Segment 1 - Utility relocation and R/W Support	6/1/02	1/1/04	\$157
3	Segment 2 - Utility relocation and R/W support.	1/1/03	7/1/04	\$250
4	Segment 1 - Construct roadways and structures and provide construction support	5/1/04	10/1/05	\$8,888
4	Segment 2 - Construct roadways and structures and provide construction support	11/1/04	3/1/06	\$19,619
	***************************************		Total:	\$30,569

#### Funding Plan (\$x 1,000)

Source	Type			Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP -	State		Committed	\$172	\$783			\$955
Segment 1			Proposed			\$157	\$8,888	\$9,045
STIP - ITIP /	State		Committed	\$100	\$600	<u></u>		\$700
Other-Seg. 2			Proposed			\$250	\$19,619	\$20,869
	7	Totals :	Committed	\$272	\$1,383			\$1,655
	Ĺ		Proposed			\$407	\$28,507	\$28,914
			Total:	\$172	\$1,383	\$407	\$28,507	\$30,569

#### Discussion/Issues

No Issues. This project utilizes the full TCRP amount to completely fund Segment 1 while relying on funding from the ITIP to complete Segment 2. PA&ED and PS&E for Segment 2 was programmed with ITIP funds in the 2000 STIP. The Department is committed to securing the remaining funding for Segment 2 from STIP and other sources. This disproportional use of TCRP will allow the department to fully fund through construction Segment 1.

Riverside

Route 60; add seven miles of HOV lanes west of Riverside, Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County.

(\$x1,000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$38,046

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$3,800

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$25,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

1.2

Lead Agency:

Caltrans

Implementing Agency:

Same

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

\$3,800

for Phase(s): 1,2

Advance requested: \$0

## **Project Summary**

Widen State Route 60 from six lanes to eight lanes beginning at the Junction of I-15 and ending at Valley Way by constructing one HOV lane in each direction. This addition will provide the missing portion between the completed westerly section from the Los Angeles County line to the I-15 junction and the currently under construction section, between Valley Way and I-215. Both of these segments consist of 3-mixed flow lanes and one HOV lane in each direction. Currently, 3 mixed flow lanes exist between I-15 and Valley Way.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Phase	Scope	Start	End	Cost
1	Prepare Environmental document	10/1/00	7/1/01	\$600
2	Prepare PS&E package	7/1/01	2/1/03	\$3,200
3	Right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation, & support	10/1/01	2/1/03	\$200
4	Construction of roadway, structures and support	8/1/03	10/1/05	\$34,046
			Total:	\$38,046

## Funding Plan (\$x 1,000)

Source	Type		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$600	\$3,200	·	<del></del>	\$3,800
		Proposed			\$200	\$21,000	\$21,200
STIP/RIP or	Local - RTCT	Committed					
Measure		Proposed				\$3,261	\$3,261
STIP / ITIP	State	Committed	_				_
		Proposed				\$9,785	\$9,785
	Totals :	Committed	\$600	\$3,200			\$3,800
		Proposed			\$200	\$34,046	\$34,246
		Total:	\$600	\$3,200	\$200	\$34,046	\$38,046

### Discussion/Issues

No issues.

Seismic retrofit of the national landmark Golden Gate Bridge. San Francisco. Marin

(\$x 1.000)

Estimated Project Cost:

\$120,000

TCRP Funds covered by the application: \$5,000

\$5,000

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$5,000

Phase(s) covered in application:

Same

Lead Agency:

Phase

Golden Gate

Bridge, Highway

and

Transportation

District

TCRP Funds Allocation requested concurrently with application:

Implementing Agency:

for Phase(s): 4

Start

End

\$120,000

Cost

Advance requested: \$5,000

# **Project Summary**

This application is for Phase II of a 3 Phase construction project to seismically retrofit the Golden Gate Bridge. Work includes implementation of seismic retrofit measures to the south viaduct, south anchorage housing, Fort Point Arch, and pylons S1 and S2 as well as utility modifications throughout the south approach structures. South viaduct construction will install isolation bearings, replace supporting towers, strengthen roadway trusses, strengthen the foundations, and replace expansion joints. South anchorage construction will reinforce the housing structure internally, replace the west wall and portions the east wall, and reinforce the foundations. Fort Point Arch construction will install energy dissipation devices and expansion joints, add bracing, strengthen members, and modify bearings. Pylons S1 and S2 will be internally and externally strengthened with steel plates and anchorage to bedrock.

# Cost and Schedule (\$x1,000)

Scope

	<b>4</b>						
1 N//	4					•	
2 N//	4	••		•••••			
3 N//	4						
4 Co	nstruct Golden (	Gate Bridge Se	ismic Retrofit	Measures	10/1/00	12/1/04	\$120,000
						Total:	\$120,000
Funding P	<u>lan</u> (\$x1,00	0)					
Source	Туре		Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed				\$5,000	\$5,000
		Proposed					
HBRR 00/01	1 Federal -	Committed				\$21,805	\$21,805
	DFHWA	Proposed					
STIP - 98	State	Committed				\$26,000	\$26,000
and match	1	Proposed					
HBRR	Federal -	Committed				\$11,613	\$11,613
(DBP) 99/00	FHWA	Proposed					
HBRR	Federal -	Committed				\$7,750	\$7,750
(DBP) 98/99		Proposed					
HBRR	Federal -	Committed				\$22,275	\$22,275
(DBP) 97/98	I	Proposed					
Federal	Federal -	Committed				\$25,557	\$25,557
Demo 98/99	<b>I</b>	Proposed					
	Totals:					\$120,000	\$120,000
		Proposed					

#### Discussion/Issues

No Issues. This project is well underway and began the construction phase in October of 2000, and as such, information for Phases 1 through Phase 3 are not reflected in the application.. The TCRP funding provides the final

Total:

\$120,000

January 17-18, 2001 Agenda Item 2.1c

funding needed to fully fund the project.

The applicant requests a one-time advance payment of \$5,000,000 (the full allocated amount) in order to simplify project invoicing and reporting for the TCRP program. The applicant states that given the larger cost of this project, of which TCRP funds represent approximately 4%, the requested advance will easily be spent within the first few months of the project.

January 17-18, 2001 Agenda Item 2.1c

Totals for submitted ALL applications:

Estimated ProjectCost:

\$1,658,843

TCRP Funds covered by the application:

.....

\$67,555

Total TCRP Funds Available: \$706,400

TCRP Funds Allocation requested with application \$51,255

# CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION Approval of Traffic Congestion Relief Program Project Applications

#### RESOLUTION TA-01-01

- 1.1 WHEREAS the Traffic Congestion Relief Act of 2000 (herein after referred to as "statute"), which was established by Chapters 91 (AB 2928) and 656 (SB 1662) of the Statutes of 2000, establishes the Traffic Congestion Relief Program, providing \$5.39 billion for projects throughout the State of California to reduce traffic congestion, provide for safe and efficient movement of goods, and provide system connectivity; and
- 1.2 WHEREAS in accordance with Government Code Section 14556.11 the California Transportation Commission (Commission) has adopted guidelines, in consultation with the Department of Transportation (Department) and regional agencies, to implement the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP); and
- 1.3 WHEREAS the statute and guidelines require applicants to specify full and complete project applications, including scope, cost and schedule, financial plans and funding sources; and
- 1.4 WHEREAS the Commission, with assistance from the Department, is required by statute to review and approve applications for TCRP projects that meet the requirements in statute and guidelines; and
- 1.5 WHEREAS the Commission, with assistance from the Department, has reviewed submitted TCRP project applications, and subsequent clarifications and revisions, and determined they comply with the statute and guidelines.
- 2.1 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the Commission does hereby approve the following nine TCRP project applications totaling \$67,555,000 as submitted, with subsequent clarifications and revisions:

<u>Project</u>	(\$ X 1,000)		
<u>Number</u>	<b>Amount</b>	Phase(s)	<u>Description</u>
12.2	\$100	1	Bay Area Transit Connectivity – Hercules Rail Station Study
			and Improvements
			Lead Agency: Contra Costa Transportation Authority
			Implementing Agency: Same
			Advance Requested: \$100
29	\$8,000	4	AC Transit; Buy 6 fuel cell buses and fueling facility.  Lead Agency: Alameda/Contra Costa Transit District  Implementing Agency: Same
36	\$14,300	1	Los Angeles Eastside Light Rail Transit Project  Lead Agency: Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority  Implementing Agency: Same

<u>Project</u>	(\$ X 1,000)	Dhaga(g)	Decemention
<u>Number</u> 37	<u>Amount</u> \$4,700	<u>Phase(s)</u> 1	<u>Description</u> Los Angeles Mid-City Transit Improvements
	, ,		Lead Agency: Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority Implementing Agency: Same
38	\$12,300	1	Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension; Build an east/west bus rapid transit system in the Burbank-Chandler corridor.
			Lead Agency: Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority Implementing Agency: Same
44	\$18,400	All	Route 47 (Terminal Island Freeway); Construct interchange at Ocean Boulevard Overpass.
			Lead Agency: Port of Long Beach Implementing Agency: Same
60	\$955	1,2	Route 15; Southbound truck climbing lane at two locations in
			San Bernardino County.  Lead Agency: Caltrans
			Implementing Agency: Same
63	\$3,800	4	Route 60; Add seven miles of HOV lanes west of Riverside,
			Route 15 to Valley Way in Riverside County.
			Lead Agency: Caltrans Implementing Agency: Same
144	\$5,000	4	Seismic Retrofit of the Nation Landmark Golden Gate Bridge.
			Lead Agency: Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District
			Implementing Agency: Same
			Advance Requested: \$5,000

2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a TCRP project application approval by the Commission reserves the State funding for the project as specified by the statute, and allows the applicant agency to incur costs in accordance with the approved project application, statute and guidelines.